

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/038,312 | 11/09/2001 | Jun-Il Hong | 678-625 (P9633) | 7218 |
| 28249 | 7590 03/14/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP | | | ZHOU, TING | |
| | OVINGTON BLVD. E, NY 11553 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2173 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|--------------|
| 10/038,312 | HONG, JUN-IL |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Ting Zhou | 2173 |

| | ring znou | 21/3 | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of | the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). | in SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of | f the final rejection. | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on water been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS | dension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. |
| | but prior to the data of filing a bria | f will not be entered t | h |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in betom | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | - | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all the non-allowable claim(s). | | , timely filed amendm | ent canceling |
| 7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the proposed amendment of the proposed amendmen | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ w vided below or appended. | ill be entered and an | explanation of |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | • | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | ched. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | | No(s) | |
| 13. ☐ Other: Keubre | inclu | | |
| KIEU D. VU | | | |

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments have been fully considered, however, they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Pinard's teaching of altering the cursor indication is not equivalent to registering one of the plurality of functions. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Pinard teaches that there are a plurality of functions associated with a cursor, such as the functions of being a telephone call indicator icon, a message indicator icon, etc.; upon the occurrence of an event, one of the plurality of indicator icons is displayed, associating the cursor with the displayed indicator icon function, as recited in column 1, line 51-column 2, line 10 and column 4, lines 11-55. Therefore, when an event such as the arrival of a phone call or a new message occurs, one of the telephone call indicator function or the message indicator function is associated, or registered, with the cursor via display of the icon indicating the corresponding function. The examiner respectfully maintains that Pinard teaches registering one of the plurality of functions. The applicant's arguments have failed to put the application in condition for allowance, and the claims remain rejected according to the final office action dated 11/25/2005.